

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,445	RIGBY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sally A Sakelaris	1634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Sally A Sakelaris.

(3) Brian Gildea.

(2) Carla Myers.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2005

Time: 3:45

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b) art rejection.

Claims discussed:

1(d) and independent claim 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Yurov et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiners suggested an amendment that would overcome the art rejection of record. Applicant agreed to said examiner's amendment(see Ex. Amendment).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)